



Buckeye Local Schools

Facilities Committee Meeting #5

January 16, 2020

Planning Timeline

Committee Meeting Planning Timeline

1

09/09/2019

Kick-off
Meeting

2

10/08/2019

Review of the
HS & Develop
Guiding
Principles

3

11/07/2019

Review of MS
& Kingsville
ES

4

12/2019

Review of
Ridgeview ES,
Jeopardy Game
& Treasurer
Report

DATA COLLECTION / IMMERSION

5 & 6

01/16 &
1/29/2020

Master
Planning

7 & 8

02/2020

Master
Planning

9 & 10

03/2020

Master
Planning

10 & 11

04/2020

Master
Planning

TIMELINE IS TENTATIVE

MASTER PLANNING – PUTTING IT ALL TOGETHER

Agenda

Recap Meeting #4

Master Planning
Fundamentals &
Considerations

Draft Master Plan Options

Group Activity

Questions / Next Steps

Recap of Meeting #4

- **Reviewed Assessment & Building Data for Ridgeview ES**
 - *The building is in need of major system replacement (ie: HVAC electrical, security, etc)*
 - *The cost to fully renovate = \$13.8M. This equates to an 85% renovation vs. rebuild percentage*
 - *Site is 10 acres, is flat and features a dedicated bus loop and multiple entrances*
 - *School has good natural light, corridor design limits good line of sight, and there is a lack of flexible, collaborative space*
- **Reviewed Treasurer's Report**
- **Jeopardy Game Review Activity**



Agenda

Recap Meeting #4

**Master Planning
Fundamentals &
Considerations**

Draft Master Plan Options

Group Activity

Questions / Next Steps

OFCC Master Planning Fundamentals

- 350 Rule: Minimum 350 students in any co-funded building
- Base Plan Rule: OFCC determines most economical/feasible plan for a district. This establishes their co-funding cap. Different plans can be implemented & approved, but the co-funding cap remains the same established by the base plan.
- OFCC will not share in the cost to build new square footage unless all existing buildings are filled with students to meet OFCC capacity.
- Plans are based 2023-2024 enrollment projections of 1,639 students.
- Master Plan Options will have cost update in April 2020. Will need to consider additional cost for market conditions.

Master Planning Considerations

PROBLEM STATEMENT:

The problem is captured in the choice between renovating our existing buildings and expecting them to meet our future needs, or using those funds leveraged with local and OFCC dollars to build new.

- Focus on the general concept of the plans. What is the best plan configuration for our students and community?
- Costs include storm shelter requirement & Emergency Responder system at current time.
- Costs do not include LFI's (new auditorium, new board office, higher enrollment, PK expansions, etc). Discuss the pros and cons to adding such.
- New plans/ideas? Site options?

Agenda

Recap Meeting #4

Master Planning
Fundamentals &
Considerations

**Draft Master Plan
Options**

Group Activity

Questions / Next Steps

Summary of Master Plan Options

Master Plan 1 – OFCC Base Plan:

Construct New PK-12 School
Abate/Demo Existing Schools

Total Preliminary Cost \$57.7M

Local Share \$19M

State Share \$38.7M

Master Plan 2

Construct New PK-5 School
Construct New 6-12 School
Abate/Demo Existing Schools

Total Preliminary Cost \$63.3M

Local Share \$24.5M

State Share \$38.7M

Master Plan 3

Renovate / Add to Edgewood to create PK-12
Abate/Demo Kingsville ES, Braden MS, & Ridgeview ES

Total Preliminary Cost \$54.8M

Local Share \$19.3M

State Share \$35.5M

Master Plan 4:

Renovate/Add to Braden MS to create PK-12
Demo Existing Kingsville ES, Braden MS & Edgewood

Total Preliminary Cost \$60.1M

Local Share \$23.2M

State Share \$36.9M

Costs are preliminary, based on OFCC 2019 cost set, do not include mark-up for market conditions, nor include any LFI's at this point in time.

Agenda

Recap Meeting #4

Master Planning
Fundamentals &
Considerations

Draft Master Plan Options

Group Activity

Questions / Next Steps

Summary of Master Plan Options

TABLE 1

<p>* <u>1</u></p> <p>Efficient Least costly (in long-term maintenance)</p> <p>Utilization of funding</p> <p>Sell all other sites to offset cost</p> <p>Co-mingling gyms (new connectivity)</p> <p>Location? How do you centrally locate?</p> <p>Radical change Will community support?</p> <p>Loss of neighborhood schools</p>	<p>2</p> <p>Ability to have 2 locations</p> <p>Separation of Elementary building</p> <p>+\$5M local \$ Most costly</p> <p>Restricted by site options</p>
<p>* <u>3</u></p> <p>Efficient Cost-effective</p> <p>Can utilize existing Auditorium and Gym</p> <p>44 acres - largest site</p> <p>Septic? What do you do with student population during renovation?</p> <p>Affects many sport fields</p> <p>* Most Favored</p> <p>table 1</p>	<p>4</p> <p>Sewer available Stadium here</p> <p>What do you do with student population during renovation?</p> <p>Traffic flow/ Infrastructure</p> <p>2d most costly costly option</p> <p>Bad location</p>

Summary of Master Plan Options

TABLE 2

PROS/CONS

<p>① Lowest amount of \$ out of pocket</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - centralized location PRO - least amount cost to the District; taxpayers - property not being enough-size-wise - safety mixing HS <p>- Lots of w/ES - Least potential loss of amenities</p>	<p>② - con - local share</p> <p>pro - separation of grade sizes</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Allows you to consider two different sites - cost the build & maintain Higher - con - opportunity to keep existing space - centralize the two for maintenance & such (property-wise)
<p>③</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Low LFI - Get to keep Amenities - space for baseball field - Get to keep 1-of-the-4 existing schools - Mixing grade levels - Septic Sewer 	<p>④</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - cost - con - least logical - pro - parking in front of Braden - pro - what schools will sell the best - the only w/ public sewer - option for possibility for three entrances - picking up & moving off in neighborhoods

New Ideas

↳ property search for land for sale & compare to what we currently have.

new plan: explore new sites potentially available

likes plan #1 because people see they strong new plan

also city plan

Summary of Master Plan Options

TABLE 2

- Building new buildings, but couldn't afford to keep them up.

-

Table 2

Summary of Master Plan Options

TABLE 3

Table 3

MASTER PLAN 1	
<u>Pros</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- Cost Effective- Sharing Resources- Creates Unity in ES	<u>Cons</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- All students under 1 building- Location - ^{loss of} neighborhood schools.
MASTER PLAN #2	
<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Creates Unity in ES enrollment- For the ES, location at Edgewood - explain why- Suggest exploring PR-5 @ Kingsville + L12 @ Edgewood	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Cost ↑ than Plan 1- Location for some

Discussion @ Kingsville School
by abraham like N. Kingville

Summary of Master Plan Options

TABLE 3

Table 3

Discussion @ Kingsville School Board at 10:00 AM on 11/11/11

Table 3

Pros MASTER PLAN 3 Cons
COST & Room Configurations

Pros MASTER PLAN 4 Cons
COST & Room Configurations

Next Steps / Questions

- **Next Meeting: January 29, 2020**
Topic: Master Planning
- January – April 2020 – Master Planning

**Reminder: All information will be posted on the 'Facilities Master Planning' page on the School District website*



Q & A
Thank You!

